COOLEY, GODWARD, CASTRO, 1 HUDDLESON & TATUM MICHAEL TRAYNOR 2 G. EDWARD RUDLOFF, Jr. One Maritime Plaza, Suite 2000 3 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 981-5252 4 Attorneys for Respondent 5 6 7 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE 9 10 INQUIRY CONCERNING A JUDGE ANSWER 11) NO. 65 12 13 Pursuant to Rule 906 of the California Rules of Court, 14 Respondent answers the Notice of Formal Proceedings as follows: 15 Denies each and every charge of wilful misconduct 1. 16 in office, conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice 17 that brings the judicial office into disrepute, and persistent 18 failure or inability to perform his duties as a judge. 19 2. Denies the allegation in Count One, paragraph A, 20 that "There have been submitted matters in your court which were 21 22ready for disposition but which remained undecided for excessive and unacceptable periods of time constituting inordinate delay," 2324 and denies each and every remaining allegation in Count One, 25paragraph A, including the allegations contained in Attachment A to the Notice, except as hereinafter admitted. 2627| | | | | 28 | | | | |

Count One

1

2	A. Respondent generally and specifically denies each and
3	every charge of wilful misconduct, and further denies committing
4	any act or omission in the performance of judicial duties in "bad
5	faith," intentionally, maliciously, or for a corrupt purpose, or
6	any purpose other than the faithful performance of my duties.
7	During my term as Presiding Judge from July 1, 1982 to June 30,
8	1983, I conscientiously and diligently sought "To manage [the]
9	trial calendar efficiently and to maximize the use of its judicial
10	resources" and to "Organize its judicial resources to maximize
11	the amount of judicial time for the trial of cases." Standards
12	of Judicial Administration Section ll(a)(l).

To insure the prompt disposition of civil cases, the 13 undersigned implemented policies and procedures so that "... 14 Generally speaking, all cases should proceed to trial on the date 15 set or, if necessary, within two court days thereafter...." 16 The undersigned further implemented procedures so that "The number 17 of cases set for trial on any court day should be based on the 18 19 reasonable certainty that all cases, whether set for trial on a daily...basis, will proceed to trial on that day." Judicial 20 Standards Section 9(a). 21

Furthermore, the undersigned "Adopt[ed] a firm policy regarding continuances, emphasizing that the dates assigned a trial setting or pretrial conference, a settlement conference and for trial must be regarded ...as definite court appointments. ... [and that] A continuance should be granted only upon an affirmative showing of good cause requiring the continuance..." Section 9(b). //

-2-

Respondent "...participate[d] in establishing, maintaining,
 and enforcing and [did] himself observe, high standards of conduct
 so that the integrity and independence of the judiciary may be
 preserved." Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 1.

The undersigned at all times as "A judge ... [was] faithful 5 to the law and maintain[ed] professional competence in it. [I did 6 remain] ... unswayed by partisan interests, public clamor, or fear 7 of criticism." Canon 3A(1). Furthermore, as "a judge [I was]... 8 patient, dignified, and courteous to litigants, jurors, witnesses, 9 lawyers, and others with whom [I] deal[t] in [my] official 10 capacity.... " Canon 3A(3), and "... accord[ed] to every person 11 who [was] legally interested in a proceeding, or his lawyer, full 12 right to be heard according to law.... Canon 3A(4). 13

The undersigned made conscientious, diligent good faith efforts to "...dispose promptly of the business of the court," (Canon 3A(5)) and to "...diligently discharge [my] administrative responsibilities, maintain professional competence in judicial administration, and facilitate the performance of the administrative responsibilities of other judges and court officials." Canon 3B.

Respondent admits the dates of hearing and the dates of 20 preparing and rendering decisions as set forth in Ex. A, but denies 21 that any delay was caused by wilful misconduct, bad faith, 22 intentional, malicious conduct of for a corrupt purpose. Respondent 23 alleges that such delays occurred through inadvertence at a time 24 when Respondent was otherwise faithfully, diligently, conscien-25 tiously, performing his duties as Presiding Judge which enabled 26 the Fresno County Superior Court to achieve the lowest time from 27 At Issue Memorandum to trial of any of the large Counties in the 28

-3-

1 State of California.

Respondent denies that case numbers 2,5,6,7,8,9,10, and 11 in Attachment A to the Notice were delayed because these decisions were prepared in a timely manner within 90 days, were lengthy and very comprehensive, and the delay occurred in the typing by an overburdened secretarial staff.

7 Respondent admits that for the period of October 2, up to в. 8 a few days before November 30, 1983, after certain cases described 9 in Ex. A were brought to my attention, Respondent did not submit a signed salary declaration, or draw a salary until the decisions 10 were prepared. Respondent further admits that in periods other 11 than between October 2, 1983 to a few days before November 30, 12 1983, he executed salary declarations pursuant to Government Code 13 14 Section 68210, believing that Respondent was keeping current in 15 the work. Except as admitted Respondent denies the allegations of Count One Paragraph B and specifically denies wilful misconduct, 16 17 and further denies that any act or omission constituted bad faith, 18 was intentional or malicious conduct or was for a corrupt purpose. 19 Respondent denies the allegations of Count One Paragraph C. C except Respondent admits that for the period October 2, 1983 to 20 November 30, 1983, when certain cases described on Ex. A were 21 brought to my attention, Respondent did not draw or receive a 22 salary until the decisions were prepared. Respondent further 23 admits he otherwise drew a salary as a Judge of the Superior Court 24 for periods other than October 2, 1983 to November 30, 1983. 25 26 Respondent specifically denies wilful misconduct, and further 27 denies that any act or omission constituted bad faith, was intentional or malicious conduct or was for a corrupt purpose. 28

-4-

Respondent admits that the dates cases were heard and decisions prepared and filed as set forth in letters to Attorney General John Van de Kamp dated March 13, 1985, March 15, 1985, March 18, 1985, March 20, 1985, and April 2, 1985, and in letters from Respondent to the Commission on Judicial Performance dated May 17 and May 28, 1985, are correct.

7 Respondent admits that case No.16 of Ex. A was delayed
8 but this occurred because no submission slip was prepared. Except
9 as specifically admitted, Respondent denies Count One and
10 Paragraphs A, B, and C thereof, and each and every allegation
11 thereof.

Count Two

12

13

20

21

Respondent incorporates the admissions and denials to Paragraphs A, B, and C of the Answer to Count One by reference. Except as admitted, Respondent denies Count Two, and each and every allegation thereof, and specifically denies conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice which brings the judicial office into disrepute.

Count Three

Respondent incorporates the admissions and denials contained in Paragraph A of Count One. Except as admitted, Respondent denies Count Three and each and every allegation thereof, and specifically denies persistent failure or inability to perform judicial duties.

For his defenses to the general and specific allegations contained in the Notice of Formal Proceedings, respectfully

-5-

alleges that he has performed his responsibilities to the best 1 of his ability, has worked to expand the resources and capabilities 2 of the court in which he sits to hear and determine cases, has 3 worked to improve the administration of justice, has undertaken 4 and discharged additional assignments, has carried out his duty 5 6 under Penal Code section 1050 that "criminal cases shall be given precedence over, and set for trial and heard without regard to 7 the pendency of, any civil matters or proceedings,", and has acted 8 9 in the good faith belief that his actions complied with and implemented the law. Respondent further alleges any action 10 adverse to him in the circumstances would deny to him due process 11 and equal protection of the laws under the Constitution of the 12 United States and the Constitution of the State of California. 13

The Fresno County Superior Court did not implement Government Code Section 69892 allowing a secretary for each Superior Court Judge, and sought to comply with Judicial Standard Section 11(a)(2) with existing staff because we were seeking an additional department of the Superior Court, which ultimately was approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Respondent incorporates by reference, the contents of 20 letters to Attorney General John Van de Kamp dated March 13, 1985, 21 March 15, 1985, March 18, 1985, March 20, 1985, and April 2, 1985, 22 and in letters from Respondent to the Commission on Judicial 23 11 24 25 11 26 11 11 27

-6-

28

11

Performance dated May 17 and May 28, 1985, as an explanation and 1 2 as constituting facts in mitigation. 3 4 VERIFICATION The undersigned, Frank J. Creede, Jr., the Respondent, 5 6 certifies and declares as follows: 7 That I have read the Answer to the above entitled 8 matter and know the contents thereof and the contents are true 9 of my own personal knowledge except as to matters alleged to be true based on information and belief and as to those matters 10 11 that I believe them to be true. 12 I certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the 13 foregoing is true and correct. 14 15 June 28, 1985 CREEDE, FRANK J. Jr. Fresno, California 16 4522L 17 18 19 $\mathbf{20}$ 21 22 23 24 $\mathbf{25}$ 262728 -7-

1	PROOF OF SERVICE BY HAND-DELIVERY
2	I declare that:
3	I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco,
4	State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and
5	not a party to the within cause. My business address is
6	514 Bryant Street, San Francisco, California 94107.
7	On July 2, 1985 , I served the documents listed
8	herein:
9	ANSWER
10	
11	
12	
13	by personally hand-delivering true copies thereof to the
14	following address:
15	Jack E. Frankel, Esq.
16	Director- Chief Counsel Commission on Judicial Performance
17	3052 State Building San Francisco, California 94102
18	San Flancisco, Carroinia 94102
19	
20	
21	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing
22	is true and correct and that this declaration was executed
23	onJuly 2, 1985, at San Francisco, California.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

×