

FILED

June 10 2025

**COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE

INQUIRY CONCERNING
FORMER JUSTICE WILLIAM J.
MURRAY, JR.

No. 211

NOTICE OF FORMAL
PROCEEDINGS

To William J. Murray, Jr., a former justice of the Court of Appeal,
Third Appellate District, from December 10, 2010, to January 27, 2022:

Preliminary investigation pursuant to Rules of the Commission on
Judicial Performance, rules 109 and 111, having been made, the
Commission on Judicial Performance has concluded that formal proceedings
should be instituted to inquire into the charges specified against you herein.

By the following allegations, you are charged with engaging in
willful misconduct in office, persistent failure or inability to perform your
duties, conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the
judicial office into disrepute, improper action, and dereliction of duty
within the meaning of article VI, section 18 of the California Constitution,
providing for removal, censure, or public or private admonishment of a
judge or former judge, to wit.

COUNT ONE

You engaged in a neglect of duty and a pattern of chronic delay in
deciding a significant number of appellate cases from April 2012 to
January 2022.

At all relevant times, a panel of three Court of Appeal justices was assigned to each appeal, with one of the three justices assigned as the author-justice. The author-justice was responsible for preparing a draft opinion that would be circulated to the two other panel justices for comment. The cases discussed herein are cases in which you were assigned as the author-justice.

You failed to promptly decide (or dismiss) 355 cases within at least one year after a case was either assigned to you or was fully briefed, whichever date is later (as set forth in Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein as though set forth in full).

Two of your assigned cases, including one juvenile matter, had aged between eight and nine years before being decided. Two of your cases, including one juvenile matter, were delayed between seven and eight years; five cases were delayed between six and seven years; 15 cases were delayed between five and six years; 47 cases were delayed between four and five years; 59 cases, including one juvenile matter, were delayed between three and four years; 88 cases were delayed between two and three years; and 137 cases, including three juvenile matters, were delayed between one and two years. You did not prioritize your efforts so that older cases could be resolved before work began on newer ones.

Your backlog included cases assigned to you as early as 2011. During the relevant time period, you were aware of your growing backlog of cases. You received monthly reports identifying your assigned cases and the date of each assignment. You also knew that the issue of backlog was a court-wide concern during the relevant time period.

Corrective measures, such as reducing the number and complexity of assignments and reassigning cases to other justices, failed to resolve your backlog. The chart below shows the rating of the cases assigned to you from 2011 through 2020. The court's managing attorney screens all

appeals and numerically ranks each chambers case according to complexity, generally from 1 to 5, with higher numbers assigned to more complex cases. Routine Disposition Appeals (RDAs), which are defined as cases with one to three issues presented, none of which is novel, close, or particularly complicated, are the least complex. (In the chart heading, “rdaa” signifies RDAs assigned to you and a central staff attorney, while “RDA” signifies RDAs assigned directly to your chambers. “Asn” and “Assn” refer to the number of cases assigned to you during each year. “Del” and “Total Delayed” refer to the number of cases assigned each year that were not decided within one year.) You were assigned more RDAs than higher-rated cases, and fewer higher-rated cases were assigned to you as the years passed. Eventually, as your backlog persisted, even the number of your rdaa and RDA assignments declined.

Year	rdaa		RDA		1		2		3		4		5		6		Total Assn	Total Delayed	% Delayed
	Asn	Del	Asn	Del	Asn	Del	Asn	Del	Asn	Del	Asn	Del	Asn	Del	Asn	Del			
2011	60	9	22	11	5	3	12	7	4	4	0	0	4	3	0	0	107	37	34.6%
2012	50	25	17	15	4	3	6	6	6	5	2	2	0	0	2	1	87	57	65.5%
2013	63	29	15	13	3	3	12	12	4	3	3	2	3	2	0	0	103	64	62.1%
2014	29	10	5	3	3	3	14	13	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	55	33	60.0%
2015	57	11	15	7	5	2	13	8	5	4	3	3	0	0	0	0	98	35	35.7%
2016	35	2	12	9	2	3	18	16	5	5	3	2	0	0	0	0	75	37	49.3%
2017	38	24	3	3	3	3	9	7	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	57	40	70.2%
2018	30	18	0	0	2	2	5	4	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	41	27	65.9%
2019	3	2	4	2	7	3	7	7	5	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	27	20	74.1%
2020	5	1	11	5	3	1	6	3	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	29	11	37.9%

Although all Third District justices may designate one assignment-free month per year, you were assigned no new chambers cases for eight months in 2017, five months in 2018, seven months in 2019, six months in 2020, and at least three months in 2021.

From 2017 through 2020, at least 32 of your delayed cases were reassigned to other justices to complete, due to your failure to dispose of the matters in a timely manner. In a number of those reassigned cases, due to your decisional delay, the new panels noted in their opinions the month and year of reassignment. There were eight cases in which another justice

sought to be reassigned from a panel because of concern about your decisional delay.

From 2016 through 2020, except for assignments to the newest justice and a retiring justice, the court assigned to you, in total, less than half the number of appeals assigned to any other Third District justice.

Your neglect of duty and decisional delay resulted in additional supplemental briefing in many of the matters described above (and in Exhibit 1), and imposed a greater workload on research attorneys and other panel justices who were required to conduct additional research and analysis, some of which was necessary as the result of your delay in deciding the cases. Many litigants and attorneys inquired about the status of their appeals, which provided you with additional notice of delay. One attorney wrote a poem about the delay in one of your cases.¹

While all protracted decisional delay damages the public's esteem for the judiciary, your conduct also resulted in actual prejudice to litigants in at least the following 16 cases.

1. ***People v. Kent*** (No. C062332, rating: 2, A/O:² 6Y 6M 25D).

This matter was fully briefed on August 29, 2011, assigned to

¹ In *People v. Schenberger* (No. C069146), after attorney Mark Greenberg twice inquired about the status of the case, he made a third inquiry as follows: "A week ago this Sunday last, [¶] A half a quince of years have passed [¶] With all the briefs on file. [¶] And so, it's been awhile. [¶] Although we've asked, we haven't heard, [¶] Not so much a single word, [¶] About this case. So here I am, [¶] Inclined to speak by epigram, [¶] And ask, anon: [¶] With respect, is anybody home? [¶] So I'll just say (in future tense), [¶] That when a notice issues hence, [¶] I'd like a date for argument. [¶] But if this missive's out of season, [¶] With too much rhyme, too little reason, [¶] Forgive me if I was abrupt: [¶] [Justices], alas, must tidy up; [¶] Our time is short. [¶] Please share this with the Court."

² "A/O" signifies the time between assignment and issuance of an opinion or dismissal.

you on August 31, 2011, and decided on March 28, 2018. The trial court had sentenced the appellant, on June 18, 2009, to five years and four months in state prison. On October 4, 2017, more than six years after your assignment, the appellant filed a motion to place the matter on calendar. That motion was denied as moot on November 2, 2017. Ultimately, when the appeal was decided in March 2018, you reversed and dismissed Count 5 and stayed the execution of the eight-month consecutive sentence on Count 4, pursuant to Penal Code section 654. Due to your decisional delay, the appellant served all of her original sentence and lost all benefit of an eight-month reduction in her prison term.

2. ***People v. Naylor*** (No. C072239, rating: rdaa, A/O: 6Y 2M 16D). This matter was fully briefed on April 26, 2013, assigned to you on May 15, 2013, and decided on July 31, 2019. The appellant had been confined to the State Department of Health Services since 1997 as a sexually violent predator (SVP). The issue on appeal involved whether the appellant was entitled to counsel for a frivolous petition for release. On May 20, 2013, five days after assignment, a central staff attorney prepared a draft opinion for your review, concluding that counsel should have been appointed and that the case should be reversed. Almost 18 months later, on or about November 5, 2014, the appellant's brother contacted the court to inquire about the delay. Only then did you realize that a draft opinion had been prepared for you almost one and one-half years before. You reviewed the draft and agreed that the case should be reversed. You circulated a draft opinion to the panel on November 14, 2014.

The draft came back to you on January 16, 2015, but the other justices on the panel disagreed that the appellant was entitled to counsel. On May 15, 2019, the appellant filed a motion for calendar preference. By then, the appeal had been undecided for more than six years and the superior court had denied the appellant's 2015 petition for writ of habeas corpus, in part, because this appeal was still pending. The motion for calendar preference was denied as moot on July 9, 2019.

Your opinion affirming the trial court's decision was filed on July 31, 2019. Your decisional delay of more than six years rendered the appellant's right to appeal meaningless and negatively impacted his ability to obtain alternate relief.

3. ***People v. Harris*** (No. C071383, rating: RDA, A/O: 4Y 6M 26D). This matter was fully briefed on March 26, 2013, assigned to you on March 28, 2013, and decided on October 14, 2017. The opening brief raised a single issue—whether the trial court abused its discretion by denying a motion to continue a sentencing hearing to allow newly retained counsel to review the record and file a motion for a new trial. The appellant's attorney inquired about the status of the appeal multiple times. He contacted the court by telephone in June 2015 and the early part of 2016, and by correspondence on June 24, 2016. In his letter, the attorney wrote, "My staff has made inquiries in the past regarding the status of the matter and has only been told that the matter continues to be pending. As it has been over 3 [*sic*] years since the last briefing, both myself and my client are quite concerned about the status of the matter." On April 5, 2017, the appellant filed a motion for calendar preference in which

the appellant and his counsel highlighted their concerns about the delay. In his declaration, counsel stated, “I have noticed that during the last several years, that it is not unusual for decisions in this court to be issued as long as two or more years from the time the case is considered ‘fully briefed.’ However, once this case had not been set for argument or a decision was not issued for over two years since the last briefing was submitted, I became concerned that inquiries should be made” The appellant completed his sentence prior to the decision in this matter. His declaration described the negative impact of delay stating, “Although I am provided a right to an appeal, I am forced to suffer the effects of conviction while waiting for a decision even though that may ultimately result in my vindication.” You did not file a decision affirming the conviction until more than four and one-half years after assignment.

4. *Myers et al. v. Raley’s* (No. C075125, rating: 3, A/O: 4Y 3M 14D). This matter, a class action wage and hour appeal, was fully briefed on October 16, 2014, assigned to you on October 30, 2014, reassigned on June 29, 2018, and decided on February 13, 2019. While the appeal was assigned to you, the clerk’s office notified you on multiple occasions that the appellant’s attorney had inquired about the status of the case. The judgment was reversed and remanded to the trial court to articulate a statement of reasons for denying or approving class certification. Following the decision, the trial court granted class certification on October 18, 2019. The appellants, Raley’s maintenance technicians, had to wait

years for class certification and the ability to proceed with their action because of your decisional delay.

5. ***Sharp Image Gaming, Inc. v. Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians*** (No. C070512, rating: 5, CFB/O:³ 4Y 1M 6D). This matter was fully briefed by the parties on February 19, 2013, assigned to you on February 28, 2013, and decided on September 15, 2017. Sharp Image Gaming, Inc. prevailed at trial and obtained a jury verdict in excess of \$30 million based on a contract dispute. The United States filed an amicus brief, and the parties' responses to the amicus brief were complete on August 9, 2013. Almost three years after the case had been fully briefed, on July 7, 2016, the respondent's attorney inquired about the status of the appeal. On September 15, 2017, you reversed the jury award and dismissed the case for lack of jurisdiction. Although the case was complex, a delay of more than four years was excessive and created extended uncertainty and anxiety for parties awaiting a ruling on a multimillion-dollar verdict.
6. ***People v. Mullins*** (No. C079303, rating: rdaa, A/O: 4Y 1M 28D). This matter was fully briefed on December 23, 2015, assigned to you on January 5, 2016, and decided on March 4, 2020. The appellant argued that his sentence for a criminal threats conviction should have been served concurrently to his sentence on a conviction for corporal injury to a cohabitant and that the trial court had not correctly calculated custody credits. The appellant became eligible for parole in

³ "CFB/O" signifies the time between the case being fully briefed and issuance of an opinion or dismissal. CFB/O is used when briefing was completed after assignment.

2017. While the appeal was pending, new legislation (Senate Bill 1393) passed that would allow the trial court to dismiss a prior serious felony enhancement. The People did not oppose remanding the matter to the trial court to recalculate custody credits and to consider striking the enhancement. On March 11, 2019, the appellant filed a motion for calendar preference and expedited review. The motion stated that calendar preference is appropriate where the parties agree that remand is required. The motion also stated that, given the appellant's eligibility for release on parole, he would be more likely to receive the benefit of a remand the sooner the court returned his case to the superior court. You did not deny the motion for calendar preference as moot until February 18, 2020, almost a year after it was filed. On March 4, 2020, more than four years after assignment, you remanded the matter to the trial court with directions to calculate and award any presentence custody credit and to consider striking the sentencing enhancement. Your decisional delay eliminated the appellant's right to meaningful appellate review and prevented prompt review of his custody credits and sentencing enhancement.

7. ***People v. Wrobel*** (No. C081210, rating: RDA, A/O: 4Y OM 4D). This matter was fully briefed on June 8, 2016, assigned to you on July 29, 2016, and decided on April 30, 2021. Two appeals were consolidated, but the first appeal (C081210) was dismissed as moot because the trial court vacated the order that was the subject of the first appeal. The appellant was sentenced to three years and eight months for two counts of felony burglary in 2014. He appealed the trial court's denial

of a Proposition 47 petition (to reduce the charges to misdemeanors). You reversed and remanded the matter to the trial court more than four years after assignment. By the time you decided this matter, the appellant had served his sentence and was subject to parole conditions. On August 25, 2021, following the remittitur, the defendant's convictions were reduced to misdemeanors. Due to your decisional delay, the appellant had to wait more than four years to receive appropriate relief and, in the meantime, was subject to the consequences of felony convictions and conditions of parole.

8. ***People v. Koenig*** (No. C074411, rating: 6, A/O: 3Y 11M 12D). This matter, a criminal securities fraud case with multiple victims, was fully briefed on December 7, 2016, assigned to you on January 3, 2017, and decided on December 15, 2020. Between January 22, 2018, and August 3, 2020, the court received 26 letters from 30 people requesting that the matter be fast-tracked. You were aware that there were 31 investor victims and that many had contacted the court. Linda Feutz, an 81-year-old victim, had been defrauded by the appellant and could not obtain restitution during the pendency of the appeal. Every 90 days, she was required to renew her eligibility with the California Secretary of State's Victims of Corporate Fraud Compensation Fund. On February 2, 2018, Feutz wrote to the court to request a hearing. She stated that most of the claimants were near retirement age when the appeal was filed (2013); and, in 2018, were in their late 70s and 80s—many with health issues. She wrote that obtaining restitution “would substantially increase the quality of my remaining

life, and probably for many other claimants. . . . Please review this case and see if it can somehow be placed on a fast track for conclusion. The action is now almost 10 years old. I know I would personally like to see this resolved in my lifetime, and I am sure most of the other claimants share this same desire.” In about 2019, while the appeal was pending, victim Linda Trapanese died, which prevented her from obtaining restitution. On June 24, 2020, John Pardella, an 85-year-old victim, called the court to inquire about the case. On July 9, 2020, counsel wrote to the court, “Given the unusual length of time the [case has] been pending, and at the suggestion of the Central California Appellate Program, I am writing in an excess of caution simply to ensure that [the] case has [not] somehow fallen between the cracks.” You asked that no cases be assigned to your chambers for June and July 2020, hoping to focus on this and other cases in your backlog, but claimed that you “ultimately got sidetracked in helping the Judicial Council provide technical advice to the Legislature on [Assembly Bill] 3070.” You did not file a decision until December 15, 2020, almost four years after the case had been assigned to you and nearly three years after you learned of letters and calls from counsel and elderly victims, detailing hardships and requesting a resolution to the case.

9. *Lundquist v. Lundquist* (No. C078000, rating: 3, A/O: 3Y 8M 25D). This matter, a trust dispute, was fully briefed on September 6, 2016, assigned to you on September 30, 2016, and decided on June 24, 2020. The appellant inquired about the status of the appeal multiple times. On March 1, 2018, the appellant’s attorney inquired about the status of the appeal

through correspondence. She wrote to the clerk of the court, “I understand and appreciate the volume of cases before the court, but have never had a case fully briefed for quite so long with no further activity. My client is interested in reaching resolution, and would appreciate any information as to when we might expect to receive a notice the case is ready for oral argument.” On September 6, 2019, the appellant’s attorney inquired about the status of the appeal by telephone. She was told that “it was on the justice’s desk.” On January 24, 2020, the attorney again inquired by correspondence, writing, “My client is understandably deeply frustrated about the length of time that has passed without a decision. I can offer him no explanation for the delay, as I have never had a case sit fully briefed without a decision for so long.” You decided the case more than three and one-half years after it was assigned to you. You affirmed the judgment, but reversed an order related to the trial court’s approval of trustee fees and remanded the case for further proceedings.

10. ***Mitchell v. Smith et al.*** (No. C078089, rating: 2, A/O: 3Y 6M 10D). This probate matter, involving the administration of a trust, was fully briefed on August 17, 2015, assigned to you on August 31, 2015, and decided on March 13, 2019. On April 30, 2018, the appellant filed a motion for calendar preference, which asserted that preference was required by section 44 of the Code of Civil Procedure and economic hardship. According to the motion, the appellant paid \$47,594.61 for property expenses to which the other beneficiaries did not contribute, while the appeal was pending, and a court order was necessary to obtain

reimbursement. Calendar preference was granted on May 2, 2018, but you did not issue a decision until March 13, 2019. The trial court orders were affirmed. Your decisional delay of more than three years was excessive, particularly in light of the appellant's notice to the court about her economic hardship.

11. ***Whitaker v. Wells Fargo, N.A.*** (No. C081559, rating: 2, A/O: 3Y 3M 25D). This matter was fully briefed on November 16, 2016, assigned to you on November 30, 2016, reassigned on February 1, 2019, and decided on March 26, 2020. Appellant Herbert Whitaker, a foreclosed homeowner who challenged his lender's refusal to modify his loan, had to wait years for the opportunity for a trial because of your decisional delay.
12. ***Barker v. Barker*** (No. C079864, rating: RDA, A/O: 3Y 1M 20D). This matter, an appeal of a judgment on property distribution after dissolution of a marriage, was fully briefed on April 25, 2016, assigned to you on April 29, 2016, and decided on June 18, 2019. On May 10 and June 9, 2016, the self-represented appellant filed motions for calendar preference. The appellant was over 70 years old and suffered from progressively worsening diabetes. On three occasions, the appellant inquired about the status of the appeal. In a letter filed on April 23, 2018, he wrote, "By tardy, unresponsive conduct, the Appellate Court has not only failed it's [*sic*] iron clad duty to protect the Public Interest, it disturbingly indicates an ivory tower laissez-faire disinterest for addressing, and rooting out, judicial corruption." In another letter filed on October 4, 2018, the appellant wrote, "Now, some 880 days after the filing of the Reply Brief, a

decision has still not been made. Therefore, Appellant again reasonably complains. Undeniably, 880 days is more than sufficient time for this court to take this Appeal in hand and make a decision. This is especially true, and critically important, because the Appeal provides compelling proof that [a judge] is corrupt.” In his last letter, filed on November 9, 2018, the appellant wrote, “[The delay] not only unreasonably casts a pall of doubt, suspicion, and mistrust, it undermines Public Confidence in our entire legal system. A two and a half year delay is indefensible. This Court is inexcusably violating it’s [sic] duty to protect, and serve, the Public Interest.” You affirmed the judgment more than three years after assignment.

13. ***Sacramento Municipal Utility District v. Kwan*** (No. C080474, rating: 2, A/O: 2Y 7M 15D). This matter, a civil action for power theft and conversion, was fully briefed on September 22, 2016, assigned to you on September 30, 2016, reassigned on or about January 1, 2019, and decided on May 15, 2019. On or about March 1, 2018, counsel for one of the parties complained to the court that she had never had a case fully briefed for so long with no further activity, and that her client was interested in reaching resolution. The judgment granting a new trial was affirmed. Appellee David Kwan had to wait years for the opportunity to proceed with his new trial.
14. ***Kalani v. Castle Village, LLC*** (No. C079905, rating: 2, A/O: 2Y 6M 30D). This matter, a premises liability and personal injury appeal, was fully briefed on July 26, 2016, assigned to you on July 29, 2016, reassigned on or about November 1, 2018, and decided on February 28, 2019. On January 10,

2017, five months after your assignment, the original appellant, Robert Kalani, died. On June 2, 2017, the court granted a motion substituting his wife, Rosemary Kalani, as appellant. The case was reassigned 27 months after your original assignment and decided in less than four months. The opinion reversed the trial court's granting of a summary judgment motion. The appellant had to wait more than two and one-half years after assignment for the opportunity to proceed with the action.

15. ***People v. Sutker*** (No. C076356, rating: RDA, A/O: 2Y 6M 12D). This matter was fully briefed on May 11, 2016, assigned to you on May 31, 2016, and dismissed, pursuant to the appellant's request, on December 13, 2018. The appellant was placed on probation for a term of 36 months for a felony conviction of transporting marijuana. He successfully completed probation on March 27, 2017. The appellant's attorney filed a request for calendar preference and to expedite the appeal on August 1, 2018. According to the request, while the appeal was pending, the appellant was unable to seek relief that could reduce the drug offense to a misdemeanor, pursuant to Proposition 64. Your decisional delay resulted in the appellant abandoning his appeal on November 7, 2018, just under two and one-half years after the case was assigned to you, so that he could seek relief in the trial court by petitioning for redesignation of his felony conviction to a misdemeanor.
16. ***People v. Shepardson*** (No. C081157, rating: RDA, A/O: 2Y 5M 23D). This matter was fully briefed on September 13, 2016, assigned to you on September 30, 2016, reassigned on

or about January 1, 2019, and decided on March 25, 2019. While this appeal was pending, Senate Bill (SB) 180 passed, eliminating certain conviction enhancements that applied to the appellant's 12-year prison sentence, imposed on January 20, 2016. The parties provided supplemental briefing on the SB 180 issue by February 16, 2018. After reassignment, the opinion (issued in less than three months) struck nine years in enhancements from the appellant's sentence based on the change in the law. Only the base three-year prison term on the underlying offense remained. The judgment was also conditionally reversed and the matter remanded for ruling on a new *Pitchess* hearing. The trial court conducted a *Pitchess* hearing, but found no new discoverable information. On September 6, 2019, the trial court resentenced the appellant pursuant to the order of the Court of Appeal. The minute order states, "No parole. No PRCS [Post Release Community Supervision] as [defendant] has served beyond the maximum sentence." Because of your decisional delay, the appellant lost the full benefit of a judgment striking nine years from his 12-year sentence.

Despite knowing the extent of your backlog, you neglected your duty by failing to curtail your extensive participation in non-core judicial activities, including work on judicial branch and other committees, and in judicial and legal education programs, court outreach activities, and community work between 2011 and 2021. You did not minimize the impact of delay by prioritizing the delayed matters and taking into account the effect of delay on the parties in some cases.

Your neglect of duty and pattern of persistent decisional delay, as described above (and in Exhibit 1), prejudiced civil litigants and criminal

defendants. It also, at minimum, created the appearance that appropriate appellate review was impeded or denied. Your conduct violated the Code of Judicial Ethics, canons 1, 2A, 3B(8), 3C(1), and 3C(2).

COUNT TWO

You failed to accord calendar preference to six juvenile cases as follows.

1. ***People v. J.T.*** (No. C069844, rating: rdaa, A/O: 8Y 9M 1D). This juvenile delinquency appeal was fully briefed on November 14, 2012, assigned to you on December 14, 2012, and decided on September 15, 2021, more than eight and one-half years after assignment.
2. ***People v. J.R.*** (No. C071466, rating: RDA, CFB/O: 7Y 0M 4D). This juvenile delinquency appeal was assigned to you on April 30, 2013, and fully briefed on May 10, 2013. Your assigned research attorney provided you with a draft opinion on October 31, 2013. You did not decide the case until May 14, 2020, more than seven years after it was fully briefed.
3. ***People v. B.H.*** (No. C078073, rating: RDA, CFB/O: 3Y 5M 7D). This juvenile delinquency appeal was assigned to you on October 30, 2015, fully briefed on January 11, 2016, and decided on June 18, 2019, more than three years after it was fully briefed. Your assigned research attorney did not promptly produce a draft opinion, and you did not follow up with that attorney regarding the case for almost three years.
4. ***In re: A.R., et al., a Minor***, also referred to as ***Sacramento County Department of Health and Human Services v. S.R.*** (No. C083564, rating: rdaa, CFB/O: 1Y 6M 25D). This

juvenile dependency appeal was assigned to you on June 1, 2017, fully briefed on June 21, 2017, and decided more than one and one-half years later, on January 15, 2019.

5. ***In re: V.E.***, also referred to as ***Butte County Department of Employment and Social Services v. C.E. et al.*** (No. C064723, rating: rdaa, A/O: 1Y 2M 20D). This juvenile dependency appeal was fully briefed on March 31, 2011, assigned to you on May 19, 2011, and decided on August 8, 2012, more than a year after assignment.
6. ***In re: Kenneth D., et al., Minors***, also referred to as ***Human Services v. L.B.*** (No. C069972, rating: rdaa, A/O: 1Y 0M 5D). This juvenile dependency appeal was fully briefed on July 25, 2012, assigned to you on July 26, 2012, and decided (reversed) on July 31, 2013, more than a year after assignment.

Your failure to accord calendar preference to the juvenile cases described above violated Welfare and Institutions Code sections 395, subdivision (a)(1), and 800, subdivision (a), and, at minimum, created the appearance that appropriate appellate review was impeded or denied. Your conduct violated the Code of Judicial Ethics, canons 1, 2A, 3B(8), 3C(1), and 3C(2).

COUNT THREE

Although you were aware of your backlog for many years, and repeatedly discussed the issue of delay with your colleagues on the court, you did not diligently discharge your administrative responsibilities to supervise your research attorneys to ensure the prompt disposition of your assigned cases. Despite being dissatisfied with the work of certain attorneys, you let personnel problems persist for years.

One research attorney, assigned to you for more than six years, failed to provide timely draft opinions for review on a regular basis, and you took no corrective action. You did not pressure the attorney to do the required work. Despite the attorney's repeated failure to timely produce draft opinions, and despite an allegation that the attorney may not have actually been working from home when she was supposed to be telecommuting, you did not terminate her or take any adverse employment action against her, in part, because you felt at fault for not managing her better.

You also claim that you could not rely on the work of another research attorney who worked in your chambers for a number of years, yet you took no action to address the alleged performance deficiencies.

Your conduct violated the Code of Judicial Ethics, canons 1, 2A, 3C(1), and 3C(2).

YOU ARE HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE, pursuant to Rules of the Commission on Judicial Performance, rule 118, that formal proceedings have been instituted and shall proceed in accordance with Rules of the Commission on Judicial Performance, rules 101-138.

Pursuant to Rules of the Commission on Judicial Performance, rules 104(c) and 119, you must file a written answer to the charges against you within twenty (20) days after service of this notice upon you. The answer shall be filed with the Commission on Judicial Performance, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14400, San Francisco, California 94102-3660. The answer shall be verified and shall conform in style to the California Rules of Court, rule 8.204(b). The Notice of Formal Proceedings and answer shall constitute the pleadings. No further pleadings shall be filed, and no motion or demurrer shall be filed against any of the pleadings.

This Notice of Formal Proceedings may be amended pursuant to Rules of the Commission on Judicial Performance, rule 128(a).

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE.

Dated: June 4, 2025



Hon. Lisa B. Lench
Chairperson

Exhibit 1

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
1	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069844	People v. J.T.	Juv Del	rd	12/02/11	11/14/12	12/14/12	09/15/21	8Y 9M 1D		3575
2	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069945	People v. Flannery	Crim	rd	12/20/11	11/08/12	01/31/13	04/16/21	8Y 2M 16D		3405
3	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066582	SPRAWLDEF v. Calif. Dept. of Resources Recycling and Recovery et al.	Civ	3	11/08/10	08/17/11	08/31/11	03/26/19	7Y 6M 23D		3060
4	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071466	People v. J.R.	Juv Del	RDA	06/29/12	05/10/13	04/30/13	05/14/20	7Y 0M 14D	7Y 0M 4D	2876
5	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C068400	Jay et al. v. Rock et al.	Civ	2	06/10/11	09/18/12	09/28/12	06/12/19	6Y 8M 15D		2924
6	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C062332	People v. Kent	Crim	2	07/08/09	08/29/11	08/31/11	03/28/18	6Y 6M 25D		3185
7	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074524	People v. Turner	Crim	rd	08/22/13	09/08/14	09/24/14	04/20/21	6Y 6M 27D		2798
8	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072239	People v. Naylor	Crim	rd	10/16/12	04/26/13	05/15/13	07/31/19	6Y 2M 16D		2479
9	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074485	Citifinancial Services, Inc. v. Studebaker	Crim	rd	08/09/13	11/13/14	01/16/15	02/24/21	6Y 1M 8D		2756
10	Murray		C069883	Morgan etc. et al. v. Foothill Oaks Care Center, Inc., et al.	Civ	2	12/12/11	01/10/13	01/31/13	01/11/19	5Y 11M 11D		2587
11	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072574	Kaabinejadian v. McGensy et al.	Civ	2	11/20/12	11/14/13	11/27/13	07/01/19	5Y 7M 4D		2414
12	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C076428	Legal Aid Society of San Mateo County v. Department of Finance et al.	Civ	3	05/08/14	05/13/15	05/29/15	12/28/20	5Y 6M 29D		2426
13	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C075910	People v. Caviness	Crim	rd	03/05/14	07/10/17	01/07/15	08/05/20	5Y 6M 29D	3Y 0M 26D	2345
14	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077197	People v. Watson	Crim	rd	08/26/14	09/14/15	09/23/15	04/07/21	5Y 6M 15D		2416
15	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074335	People v. Lydon	Crim	rd	07/24/13	04/21/15	06/09/15	12/16/20	5Y 6M 7D		2702
16	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069663	People v. Vang	Crim/Juv	2	11/14/11	12/18/12	12/28/12	06/29/18	5Y 6M 1D		2419
17	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C078368	People v. Chea	Crim	2	02/03/15	12/07/15	12/31/15	06/29/21	5Y 5M 29D		2338
18	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C076691	People v. Kehrer	Crim	rd	06/19/14	09/25/15	10/05/15	03/10/21	5Y 5M 5D		2456

INQUIRY CONCERNING FORMER JUSTICE WILLIAM J. MURRAY, JR. -- NO. 211

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
19	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079211	People v. McKnight	Crim/ Juv		rdaa	11/05/15	11/05/15	04/01/21	5Y 4M 27D		2152
20	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072907	People v. Jones	Crim		RDA	07/29/13	07/30/13	12/21/18	5Y 4M 21D		2167
21	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C076296	City of Watsonville v. Bosler et al.	Civ	3	04/24/14	01/12/15	01/30/15	05/11/20	5Y 3M 11D		2209
22	Murray		C071239	People v. Hickman	Crim		RDA	06/03/13	06/28/13	09/04/18	5Y 2M 7D		2283
23	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C075933	Tahoe Residents United for Safe Transit et al. v. County of Placer et al.	Civ	2	03/03/14	12/23/14	12/30/14	02/28/20	5Y 1M 29D		2188
24	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C077279	People v. Ladewig	Crim	3	09/08/14	05/13/16	05/31/16	06/29/21	5Y 0M 29D		2486
25	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C078801	Bass v. Soto et al.	Civ	2	03/23/15	02/26/16	02/29/16	03/09/21	5Y 0M 8D		2178
26	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071036	People v. Santay	Crim		RDA	05/22/13	06/28/13	06/12/18	4Y 11M 15D		2227
27	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C068036	People v. Sanchez	Crim	3	05/02/11	07/17/13	08/30/13	08/07/18	4Y 11M 8D		2654
28	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074299	Colton v. Knudsen	Civ	2	07/18/13	04/10/14	04/30/14	02/20/19	4Y 9M 21D		2043
29	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C076447	State Department of State Hospitals v. Superior Court of San Joaquin County (Perkins)	Civ	3	05/12/14	03/17/15	03/30/15	01/09/20	4Y 9M 10D		2068
30	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C065626	Meadow Vista Protection v. County of Placer et al.	Civ	4	07/21/10	02/27/12	03/23/12	12/22/16	4Y 8M 29D		2346
31	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069210	People v. Billings	Crim	2	09/16/11	09/14/12	09/28/12	06/02/17	4Y 8M 5D		2086
32	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C072773	People v. Alston	Crim	4	01/14/13	04/10/14	08/28/14	12/28/18	4Y 4M 0D		2174
33	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079181	People v. Powell et al.	Crim	3	05/07/15	08/05/16	08/31/16	04/28/21	4Y 7M 28D		2183
34	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066716/ C066714	People v. Ballesteros / People v. Garcia et al.	Crim	5	11/19/10	05/13/13	05/01/15	12/18/19	4Y 7M 17D		3316

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
35	Murray		C078862	People v. Wells	Crim	rdaa	04/02/15	10/29/15	11/02/15	06/16/20	4Y 7M 14D		1902
36	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079704	People v. Weathers	Crim	RDA	07/07/15	09/23/16	09/30/16	05/10/21	4Y 7M 10D		2134
37	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077992	People v. Winkler	Crim	4	12/16/14	03/29/16	03/30/16	11/02/20	4Y 7M 3D		2148
38	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071383	People v. Harris	Crim	RDA	06/13/12	03/26/13	03/28/13	10/24/17	4Y 6M 26D		1959
39	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070512	Sharp Image Gaming, Inc. v. Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians	Civ	5	03/05/12	08/09/13	02/28/13	09/15/17	4Y 6M 18D	4Y 1M 6D	2020
40	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C072267	People v. Walton	Crim	2	10/15/12	02/03/14	02/28/14	09/10/18	4Y 6M 13D		2156
41	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C072098	Davis et al. v. Coldwell Banker Doug Arnold Real Estate Inc.	Civ	2	09/27/12	04/18/14	04/30/14	11/07/18	4Y 6M 8D		2232
42	Murray		C068259	Menefee v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	Civ	4	05/24/11	08/10/12	08/30/12	03/06/17	4Y 6M 4D		2113
43	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C073673	People v. Ferger	Crim	2	05/03/13	12/30/13	02/28/14	08/31/18	4Y 6M 3D		1946
44	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C074620	People v. Kumar	Crim	4	09/03/13	02/17/15	02/26/15	08/16/19	4Y 5M 21D		2173
45	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071378	People v. Rush	Crim	RDA	06/21/12	04/16/13	04/30/13	09/29/17	4Y 4M 30D		1926
46	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C073813	Solomon v. EC Closing Corporation et al.	Civ	2	05/20/13	03/05/14	03/28/14	08/20/18	4Y 4M 23D		1918
47	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C067875	Portugal v. Western World Insurance Company	Civ	2	04/14/11	02/07/12	02/29/12	07/21/16	4Y 4M 22D		1925
48	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C068134	People v. Thomas	Crim	rdaa	05/12/11	07/19/12	08/30/12	01/20/17	4Y 4M 21D		2080
49	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079078	American Chemistry Council v. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment et al.	Civ	4	04/27/15	05/17/16	05/31/16	10/19/20	4Y 4M 18D		2002
50	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080074	People v. Speegle	Crim	RDA	08/24/15	11/30/16	01/03/17	05/20/21	4Y 4M 17D		2096
51	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C076773	People v. Prakash	Crim	rdaa	06/30/14	07/13/15	08/03/15	12/17/19	4Y 4M 14D		1996

INQUIRY CONCERNING FORMER JUSTICE WILLIAM J. MURRAY, JR. -- NO. 211

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
52	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079633	People v. Woodson	Crim	RDA	07/01/15	07/28/16	08/31/16	01/07/21	4Y 4M 7D		2017
53	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079577	Bundick v. Penny Mac Loan Services LLC	Civ	2	06/26/15	01/17/17	01/31/17	06/07/21	4Y 4M 7D		2173
54	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C073287	People v. Barnes et al.	Crim	2	03/12/13	07/18/14	07/31/14	11/30/18	4Y 3M 30D		2089
55	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C075716	Charter School Capital, Inc. v. San Joaquin County Office of Education	Civ	3	02/03/14	09/10/14	09/30/14	01/30/19	4Y 4M 0D		1822
56	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073796	People v. Gutierrez	Crim	rdaa	05/17/13	10/29/13	12/11/13	04/02/18	4Y 3M 22D		1781
57	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C075125	Myers et al. v. Raley's	Civ	3	11/07/13	10/16/14	10/30/14	02/13/19	4Y 3M 14D		1924
58	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070354	People v. Martinez-Vasquez	Crim	1	02/06/12	02/22/13	02/28/13	06/12/17	4Y 3M 15D		1953
59	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080246	People v. Carey	Crim	RDA	09/16/15	10/27/16	10/31/16	02/10/21	4Y 3M 10D		1974
60	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069555	People v. Nichols	Crim	1	11/01/11	10/17/12	10/31/12	02/09/17	4Y 3M 9D		1927
61	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C074708	People v. Wolfington	Crim	2	09/10/13	10/21/14	10/30/14	02/04/19	4Y 3M 5D		1973
62	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080967	Center for Environmental Science, Accuracy & Reliability v. Department of Water Resources et al.	Civ	2	12/23/15	09/22/16	10/31/16	02/01/21	4Y 3M 1D		1867
63	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C073588	Downs et al. v. City of Redding	Civ	2	04/22/13	07/14/14	07/31/14	10/30/18	4Y 2M 29D		2017
64	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074940	People v. Haven et al.	Crim	4	10/17/13	06/01/15	06/30/15	08/28/19	4Y 1M 29D		2141
65	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079303	People v. Mullins	Crim	rdaa	05/26/15	12/23/15	01/05/16	03/04/20	4Y 1M 28D		1744
66	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079709	People v. Xiong	Crim	2	07/13/15	07/26/16	07/29/16	09/22/20	4Y 1M 24D		1898
67	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082202	People v. Whiten	Crim	rdaa	06/09/16	03/20/17	03/27/17	05/18/21	4Y 1M 21D		1804

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
68	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080762	Gifford v. Dingman et al.	Civ	1	11/19/15	12/20/16	01/03/17	02/23/21	4Y 1M 20D		1923
69	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074919	People v. Thurman	Crim	RDA	10/15/13	06/23/14	06/26/14	07/30/18	4Y 1M 4D		1749
70	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C074632	People v. Haley	Crim	RDA	09/13/13	11/07/14	11/25/14	12/24/18	4Y 0M 29D		1928
71	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C065484	People v. Ackerman et al.	Crim	6	07/09/10	04/18/12	10/31/12	11/09/16	4Y 0M 9D		2315
72	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C081210	People v. Wrobel	Crim	RDA	02/01/16	12/29/16	04/26/17	04/30/21	4Y 0M 4D		1915
73	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082461	People v. Howze	Crim	rdaa	07/13/16	03/14/17	03/15/17	03/10/21	3Y 11M 23D		1701
74	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080861	People v. Fernandez	Crim	RDA	12/11/15	01/30/17	01/31/17	01/20/21	3Y 11M 20D		1867
75	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C081690	People v. Clapp	Crim	rdaa	03/30/16	04/04/17	04/19/17	04/05/21	3Y 11M 17D		1832
76	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074411	People v. Koenig	Crim	6	08/05/13	12/07/16	01/03/17	12/15/20	3Y 11M 12D		2689
77	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071070	People v. Applegate	Crim	2	05/15/12	01/17/13	01/31/13	01/11/17	3Y 11M 11D		1702
78	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077816	Davis v. Roy	FL	2	11/12/14	09/22/15	09/29/15	09/06/19	3Y 11M 8D		1759
79	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C071825	People v. Bowens	Crim	1	08/15/12	09/30/13	09/30/14	08/28/18	3Y 10M 29D		2204
80	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077666	People v. Lucero	Crim	4	10/29/14	11/17/15	11/30/15	10/25/19	3Y 10M 25D		1822
81	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082454	People v. Sanders	Crim	rdaa	07/20/16	04/24/17	05/05/17	03/29/21	3Y 10M 24D		1713
82	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083652	People v. Warren	Crim	rdaa	12/12/16	11/06/18	06/13/17	04/26/21	3Y 10M 13D	2Y 5M 20D	1596
83	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C074902	McCain v. A.F. Evans Company Inc., et al.	Civ	2	10/11/13	08/18/15	08/31/15	07/12/19	3Y 10M 11D		2100
84	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C070770	Scholes v. Lambirth Trucking Company	Civ	2	04/09/12	04/03/13	04/30/13	03/07/17	3Y 10M 5D		1793
85	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083364	People v. Lee	Crim	rdaa	11/08/16	07/06/17	07/14/17	05/20/21	3Y 10M 6D		1654
86	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082558	People v. Easley	Crim	rdaa	07/27/16	04/13/17	04/25/17	02/23/21	3Y 9M 29D		1672
87	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083602	People v. Borihanh	Crim	rdaa	01/06/17	04/14/17	05/19/17	03/16/21	3Y 9M 25D		1530

INQUIRY CONCERNING FORMER JUSTICE WILLIAM J. MURRAY, JR. -- NO. 211

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
88	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082846	Thompson v. Miller	Civ	rd	08/10/16	06/19/17	06/30/17	04/26/21	3Y 9M 27D		1720
89	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082070	People v. Cummings	Crim	rd	05/18/16	06/13/17	06/15/17	04/08/21	3Y 9M 24D		1786
90	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069659	People v. Williams et al.	Crim	2	11/09/11	03/21/13	03/28/13	01/13/17	3Y 9M 16D		1892
91	Murray		C076171	People v. Holston	Crim	rd	04/08/14	08/19/14	10/09/14	07/24/18	3Y 9M 15D		1568
92	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C078000	Lundquist v. Lundquist	Civ	3	12/15/14	09/06/16	09/30/16	06/24/20	3Y 8M 25D		2018
93	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072928	People v. Ferguson	Crim	rd	01/17/13	05/02/13	06/14/13	02/23/17	3Y 8M 9D		1498
94	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083382	People v. Sanders	Crim	rd	11/07/16	06/15/17	06/19/17	02/26/21	3Y 8M 7D		1572
95	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083696	People v. Sprague	Crim	rd	12/12/16	07/17/17	07/19/17	03/19/21	3Y 8M 0D		1558
96	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C081809	People v. Robertson	Crim	rd	04/08/16	01/30/17	02/03/17	09/28/20	3Y 7M 25D		1634
97	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083127	People v. Deponite	Crim	rd	10/05/16	08/07/17	08/14/17	04/05/21	3Y 7M 22D		1643
98	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C078073	People v. B.H.	Juv Del	RDA	12/19/14	01/11/16	10/30/15	06/18/19	3Y 7M 19D	3Y 5M 7D	1642
99	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C078460	California Casualty Indemnity Exchange v. Downs	Civ	3	02/09/15	02/07/17	02/28/17	10/09/20	3Y 7M 11D		2069
100	Murray		C070925	Menefee v. CarMax Auto Superstores California, LLC	Civ	4	04/24/12	07/11/13	07/30/13	03/06/17	3Y 7M 4D		1777
101	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C068213	Timber Management Services Inc., et al. v. Zeinfeld et al.	Civ	3	05/20/11	05/01/12	05/31/12	12/28/15	3Y 6M 27D		1683
102	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082028	People v. Frazier	Crim	rd	05/17/16	07/05/17	08/07/17	02/23/21	3Y 6M 16D		1743
103	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C078089	Mitchell v. Smith et al.	Civ	2	12/24/14	08/17/15	08/31/15	03/13/19	3Y 6M 10D		1540
104	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073598	Towers et al. v. County of San Joaquin et al.	Civ	5	04/22/13	01/13/14	01/29/14	08/02/17	3Y 6M 4D		1563
105	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C077073	People v. Walker	Crim	2	08/07/14	01/13/16	01/29/16	07/26/19	3Y 5M 27D		1814

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
106	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080915	People v. Polk	Crim	3	12/23/15	03/21/17	03/30/17	08/31/20	3Y 5M 1D		1713
107	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C072288	Solomon et al. v. E-Loan, Inc. et al.	Civ	2	10/22/12	12/24/13	12/31/13	06/02/17	3Y 5M 2D		1684
108	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C081843	People v. Roberts	Crim	4	04/14/16	02/28/18	03/28/18	08/20/21	3Y 4M 23D		1954
109	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C078115	People v. Montalvo	Crim	2	01/02/15	01/26/16	01/29/16	06/20/19	3Y 4M 22D		1630
110	Murray		C071870	Wakamatsu v. Winner Chevrolet, Inc.	Civ	2	08/20/12	08/22/13	08/30/13	01/18/17	3Y 4M 19D		1612
111	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080826	People v. Robertson	Crim	rdaa	12/03/15	03/24/17	04/04/17	08/21/20	3Y 4M 17D		1723
112	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077621	People v. Stinson	Crim/ Juv	RDA	10/22/14	08/21/15	08/31/15	01/16/19	3Y 4M 16D		1547
113	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066987	In re Eric Tyrone Richardson on Habeas Corpus	Crim	rdaa	12/29/10	04/06/11	06/23/11	10/31/14	3Y 4M 8D		1402
114	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C081559	Whitaker v. Wells Fargo, N.A.	Civ	2	03/14/16	11/16/16	11/30/16	03/26/20	3Y 3M 25D		1473
115	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071300	People v. Deanda	Crim	rdaa	06/12/12	09/09/13	11/04/13	02/21/17	3Y 3M 17D		1715
116	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082707	People v. Thompson	Crim	rdaa	08/16/16	05/03/17	05/04/17	08/12/20	3Y 3M 8D		1457
117	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069047	People v. Singh	Crim	RDA	08/25/11	03/07/12	03/23/12	06/24/15	3Y 3M 1D		1399
118	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079252/ C077676	Rubin v. Rubin (Freeland)	FL	2	05/13/15	07/10/17	01/29/16	04/24/19	3Y 2M 26D	1Y 9M 14D	1442
119	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084108	People v. Brashear	Crim	rdaa	02/10/17	08/07/17	08/14/17	11/02/20	3Y 2M 19D		1361
120	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064420	People v. Armstrong	Crim	2	03/10/10	05/27/11	05/31/11	08/11/14	3Y 2M 11D		1615
121	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080931	Vega v. Farmers Insurance Group et al.	Civ	1	12/21/15	12/06/16	01/03/17	03/11/20	3Y 2M 8D		1542
122	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077096	People v. Bodiford	Crim	RDA	08/11/14	08/28/15	08/31/15	10/26/18	3Y 1M 25D		1537
123	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C081124	People v. Littleton	Crim	rdaa	01/20/16	02/02/17	02/15/17	04/07/20	3Y 1M 23D		1539
124	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079864	Banker v. Barker	FL	RDA	07/30/15	04/25/16	04/29/16	06/18/19	3Y 1M 20D		1419

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
125	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C065097	You Never Know, LLC v. U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee etc., et al.	Civ	2	05/18/10	07/18/11	07/29/11	09/09/14	3Y 1M 11D		1575
126	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069146	People v. Schenberger	Crim	2	09/07/11	09/20/13	09/30/13	11/07/16	3Y 1M 8D		1888
127	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070420	People v. Shields	Crim	1	02/22/12	09/06/12	09/28/12	11/03/15	3Y 1M 6D		1350
128	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064487	People v. Compbel et al.	Crim	RDA	03/19/10	05/12/11	05/31/11	07/02/14	3Y 1M 1D		1566
129	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074267	People v. Blessett	Crim	3	07/17/13	03/02/15	03/30/15	04/30/18	3Y 1M 0D		1748
130	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C078308	Kryvoshey v. AHMSI Default Services Inc. et al.	Civ	2	01/27/15	02/16/16	01/29/16	02/27/19	3Y 0M 29D	3Y 0M 11D	1492
131	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C078461	Mejia v. Retailers Credit Association of Grass Valley, Inc. et al.	Civ	2	02/10/15	02/01/16	02/29/16	03/22/19	3Y 0M 21D		1501
132	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080194	People v. Bell	Crim	rdaa	09/03/15	01/20/16	02/16/16	03/04/19	3Y 0M 16D		1278
133	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064864	People v. Pardue	Crim	2	04/30/10	04/25/11	04/28/11	04/21/14	2Y 11M 24D		1452
134	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C085017	People v. Wheeler	Crim	rdaa	06/29/17	01/24/18	03/05/18	02/26/21	2Y 11M 21D		1338
135	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066082	People v. Mullins	Crim	rdaa	09/16/10	09/02/11	10/06/11	09/24/14	2Y 11M 18D		1469
136	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083989	People v. Bryson	Crim	rdaa	02/06/17	02/07/18	03/13/18	02/25/21	2Y 11M 12D		1480
137	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073188	People v. Villa-Gomez	Crim	2	02/26/13	11/25/13	03/28/14	03/09/17	2Y 11M 9D		1472
138	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C078779	People v. Stone	Crim	1	03/20/15	03/29/16	03/30/16	03/12/19	2Y 11M 10D		1453
139	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084559	Davis v. Physician Assistant Board	Civ	3	04/25/17	07/23/18	07/31/18	07/02/21	2Y 11M 1D		1529
140	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084177	People v. Robinson	Crim	rdaa	03/03/17	12/20/18	04/13/18	03/08/21	2Y 10M 23D	2Y 2M 16D	1466
141	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082871	People v. Sohal	Crim	rdaa	08/26/16	03/07/18	04/25/18	03/18/21	2Y 10M 21D		1665

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
142	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084090	People v. Delgado	Crim	rdaa	02/23/17	03/08/18	04/13/18	03/05/21	2Y 10M 20D		1471
143	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C085067	People v. Tannenbaum	Crim	rdaa	07/05/17	04/16/18	05/29/18	04/19/21	2Y 10M 21D		1384
144	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C085171	People v. Sobb	Crim	rdaa	07/24/17	03/01/18	04/05/18	02/24/21	2Y 10M 19D		1311
145	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066659	People v. Rivera	Crim	rdaa	11/19/10	10/03/11	03/29/12	02/09/15	2Y 10M 11D		1543
146	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079134	People v. Hull	Crim	RDA	05/04/15	03/15/16	03/30/16	01/31/19	2Y 10M 1D		1368
147	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C076697	People v. Walden	Crim	2	06/18/14	10/08/15	10/30/15	08/28/18	2Y 9M 29D		1532
148	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070068	People v. Peacock	Crim	1	01/05/12	06/20/13	06/28/13	04/18/16	2Y 9M 21D		1565
149	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064839	Kell v. Autozone, Inc.	Civ	3	04/27/10	04/26/11	04/28/11	02/10/14	2Y 9M 13D		1385
150	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C077032	People v. Ayala	Crim	1	07/29/14	02/18/16	03/30/16	01/07/19	2Y 9M 8D		1623
151	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084412	People v. Miles	Crim	rdaa	04/10/17	05/09/18	05/31/18	02/09/21	2Y 8M 9D		1401
152	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071061	Aguilera et al. v. Lyons et al.	Civ	3	05/09/12	01/08/13	01/31/13	10/07/15	2Y 8M 6D		1246
153	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071516	Kaur et al. v. Lindeman et al.	Civ	2	07/02/12	04/03/13	04/30/13	01/04/16	2Y 8M 5D		1281
154	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C085500	People v. Roberson	Crim	rdaa	09/08/17	05/23/18	07/25/18	03/30/21	2Y 8M 5D		1299
155	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069942	People v. Scott	Crim	RDA	12/21/11	08/24/12	08/30/12	05/01/15	2Y 8M 1D		1227
156	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C079671	People v. Mercado	Crim	RDA	07/08/15	08/22/16	08/31/16	04/30/19	2Y 7M 30D		1392
157	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084505	People v. Cummings	Crim	rdaa	04/10/17	05/08/18	07/06/18	03/03/21	2Y 7M 25D		1423
158	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079168	People v. Bermudez	Crim	2	05/07/15	01/19/17	01/31/17	09/25/19	2Y 7M 25D		1602
159	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C085733	People v. Racle	Crim	rdaa	10/11/17	05/16/18	06/26/18	02/11/21	2Y 7M 16D		1219
160	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082634	People v. Dennis	Crim	rdaa	08/09/16	05/02/17	05/15/17	12/31/19	2Y 7M 16D		1239
161	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066331	People v. Jones	Crim	rdaa	10/13/10	11/01/11	02/23/12	10/07/14	2Y 7M 14D		1455
162	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C080474	Sacramento Municipal Utility District v. Kwan	Civ	2	10/15/15	09/22/16	09/30/16	05/15/19	2Y 7M 15D		1308

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
163	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C077140	Root v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company et al.	Civ	2	08/15/14	07/18/16	07/29/16	03/11/19	2Y 7M 10D		1669
164	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074583	People v. Caldera	Crim	rdaa	08/28/13	12/13/13	01/17/14	08/25/16	2Y 7M 8D		1093
165	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C075673	Kwon v. Landesman	FL	2	01/27/14	11/17/14	11/25/14	06/30/17	2Y 7M 5D		1250
166	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C081165	Successor Agency to the Blythe Redevelopment Agency et al. v. Bosler	Civ	2	01/05/16	03/01/17	03/30/17	11/01/19	2Y 7M 2D		1396
167	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C079905	Kalani v. Castle Village, LLC	Civ	2	08/04/15	07/26/16	07/29/16	02/28/19	2Y 6M 30D		1304
168	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C078306	People v. Foley	Crim	rdaa	01/29/15	07/15/15	09/30/15	04/30/18	2Y 7M 0D		1187
169	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C079164	Baumwohl v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, National Association, et al.	Civ	3	05/06/15	10/04/16	10/31/16	05/29/19	2Y 6M 28D		1484
170	Murray		C076356	People v. Sukter	Crim	RDA	04/30/14	05/11/16	05/31/16	12/13/18	2Y 6M 12D		1688
171	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072507	People v. Michael	Crim	rdaa	11/07/12	08/08/13	10/11/13	04/19/16	2Y 6M 8D		1259
172	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080261	Hornbrook Community Services District v. Olson et al.	Civ	2	09/10/15	11/14/16	11/30/16	05/30/19	2Y 6M 0D		1358
173	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072680	California Clean Energy Committee v. County of Placer	Civ	4	12/10/12	06/20/13	06/28/13	12/22/15	2Y 5M 24D		1107
174	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C081157	People v. Shepardson	Crim	RDA	01/26/16	09/13/16	09/30/16	03/25/19	2Y 5M 23D		1154
175	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080988	People v. Dalton	Crim	rdaa	12/30/15	07/19/17	07/31/17	01/21/20	2Y 5M 21D		1483
176	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C081113	People v. Taylor	Crim	RDA	01/15/16	10/20/16	10/31/16	04/22/19	2Y 5M 22D		1193
177	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071436	People v. Dubose	Crim	rdaa	06/26/12	03/07/13	04/04/13	09/18/15	2Y 5M 14D		1179
178	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C068666	People v. Chavez	Crim	rdaa	07/13/11	12/30/11	04/19/12	09/25/14	2Y 5M 6D		1170
179	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066530	People v. Borys	Crim	rdaa	11/02/10	10/11/11	05/10/12	10/14/14	2Y 5M 4D		1442
180	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082455	People v. Butler	Crim	2	07/15/16	02/17/17	02/28/17	07/30/19	2Y 5M 2D		1110

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
181	Murray	<i>Reassigned</i>	C078268	Allgoewer v. Waldear	Civ	2	01/22/15	08/12/16	08/31/16	01/29/19	2Y 4M 29D		1468
182	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C065012	People v. Lopez	Crim	RDA	05/05/10	06/07/11	06/30/11	11/25/13	2Y 4M 26D		1300
183	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C081194	Rich v. Cockshott	Civ	1	01/29/16	01/09/17	01/31/17	06/27/19	2Y 4M 27D		1245
184	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C068664	People v. Mitchell	Crim	RDA	07/08/11	05/11/12	05/31/12	10/15/14	2Y 4M 14D		1195
185	Murray		C080738	Powers v. Iron Horse Condominium Association et al.	Civ	2	11/30/15	11/07/16	11/30/16	04/16/19	2Y 4M 17D		1233
186	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069195	People v. Phoenix	Crim	rdaa	09/08/11	05/14/12	07/12/12	11/24/14	2Y 4M 12D		1173
187	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C081345	Potocki et al. v. Wells Fargo Bank et al.	Civ	2	02/11/16	02/14/17	02/28/17	07/11/19	2Y 4M 13D		1246
188	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077542	People v. Beatty	Crim	RDA	10/06/14	11/19/15	11/30/15	04/06/18	2Y 4M 7D		1278
189	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C068283	People v. Porchia	Crim	RDA	05/23/11	01/30/12	02/29/12	07/03/14	2Y 4M 4D		1137
190	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080816	People v. Diaz	Crim	2	12/07/15	02/27/17	03/30/17	07/29/19	2Y 3M 29D		1330
191	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070565	People v. Sprague	Crim	rdaa	03/06/12	09/04/12	10/12/12	02/06/15	2Y 3M 25D		1067
192	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064351	Frontier Land Companies v. Jeld-Wen, Inc.	Civ	3	03/01/10	01/19/12	01/27/12	05/22/14	2Y 3M 25D		1543
193	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069632	Delucchi, as Trustee, etc. v. County of Colusa et al.	Civ	3	11/09/11	08/29/12	09/28/12	01/14/15	2Y 3M 17D		1162
194	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066848	Ryan-Lanigan v. Bureau of Real Estate	Civ	1	12/10/10	08/10/11	08/31/11	12/13/13	2Y 3M 12D		1099
195	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C065118	People v. Dingle	Crim	3	05/27/10	07/26/11	07/29/11	10/30/13	2Y 3M 1D		1252
196	Murray		C077114	Evans v. DiPietro et al.	Civ	2	08/13/14	11/04/15	11/30/15	03/02/18	2Y 3M 0D		1297
197	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C062509	People v. Strain et al.	Crim	5	07/27/09	03/04/11	03/30/11	06/26/13	2Y 2M 27D		1430
198	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070238	People v. Soria	Crim	3	01/30/12	11/16/12	11/29/12	02/23/15	2Y 2M 25D		1120
199	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C075415	People v. Nash	Crim	rdaa	12/23/13	11/17/14	12/30/14	03/16/17	2Y 2M 14D		1179
200	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C087530	People v. Kelly	Crim	1	07/11/18	09/05/19	07/31/19	10/13/21	2Y 2M 12D	2Y 1M 8D	1190
201	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069250	People v. Mendoza	Crim	rdaa	09/13/11	06/28/12	07/26/12	10/03/14	2Y 2M 7D		1116
202	Murray		C069304	People v. Colon	Crim	rdaa	09/27/11	10/25/12	02/05/13	04/13/15	2Y 2M 8D		1294

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
203	Murray	Murray	C072451	Burton v. Davis	Civ	rdaa	11/07/12	09/06/13	11/15/13	01/14/16	2Y 1M 30D		1163
204	Murray	Murray	C072880	People v. Ybarra	Crim	1	01/10/13	01/21/14	01/29/14	03/29/16	2Y 2M 0D		1174
205	Murray	Murray	C067983	People v. Elder	Crim	RDA	04/22/11	04/12/12	04/27/12	06/25/14	2Y 1M 29D		1160
206	Murray	Murray	C086844	People v. Payne	Crim	2	04/06/18	08/20/19	08/30/19	10/27/21	2Y 1M 27D		1300
207	Murray	Murray	C070310	People v. Keyes	Crim	rdaa	02/08/12	08/13/12	08/30/12	10/27/14	2Y 1M 27D		992
208	Murray	Murray	C077218	People v. Shropshire	Crim	RDA	08/27/14	05/20/15	05/29/15	07/21/17	2Y 1M 22D		1059
209	Murray	Murray	C066873	People v. Hernandez	Crim	2	12/14/10	07/20/12	07/31/12	09/17/14	2Y 1M 17D		1373
210	Murray	Murray	C070673	People v. Morales	Crim	rdaa	03/20/12	07/30/12	08/30/12	10/15/14	2Y 1M 15D		939
211	Murray	Murray	C069767	People v. Livers	Crim	rdaa	11/30/11	06/27/12	08/30/12	10/14/14	2Y 1M 14D		1049
212	Murray	Murray	C069406	People v. Harris	Crim	rdaa	10/06/11	08/23/12	10/05/12	11/18/14	2Y 1M 13D		1139
213	Murray	Murray	C069109	Williams v. Williams	Civ	RDA	08/31/11	11/27/12	11/29/12	01/05/15	2Y 1M 7D		1223
214	Murray	Murray	C070362	People v. Sias	Crim	rdaa	02/06/12	08/21/12	10/03/12	10/27/14	2Y 0M 24D		994
215	Murray	Murray	C072154	People v. Mokler	Crim	rdaa	10/01/12	01/10/13	01/25/13	02/17/15	2Y 0M 23D		869
216	Murray	Murray	C087459	People v. Hola	Crim	3	06/29/18	11/25/19	11/27/19	12/08/21	2Y 0M 11D		1258
217	Murray		C071429	Albana v. JPMorgan Chase & Co., et al.	Civ	2	06/27/12	12/18/13	12/31/13	01/11/16	2Y 0M 11D		1293
218	Murray	Murray	C064377	People v. Hendrix	Crim	RDA	03/04/10	02/10/11	02/28/11	03/07/13	2Y 0M 7D		1099
219	Murray	Murray	C088638	People v. McClanahan	Crim	1	01/11/19	10/08/19	11/27/19	12/03/21	2Y 0M 6D		1057
220	Murray	Murray	C080488	People v. Phea	Crim	3	10/13/15	11/22/16	11/30/16	11/28/18	1Y 11M 29D		1142
221	Murray	Murray	C069988	People v. Ferris	Crim	RDA	12/16/11	10/05/12	10/31/12	10/27/14	1Y 11M 26D		1046
222	Murray	Murray	C069818	People v. Hicks	Crim	rdaa	12/05/11	10/02/12	11/13/12	11/06/14	1Y 11M 24D		1067
223	Murray	Murray	C072016	People v. Romero	Crim	RDA	09/14/12	11/26/12	11/29/12	11/18/14	1Y 11M 20D		795
224	Murray	Murray	C069309	People v. Walker	Crim	RDA	09/28/11	10/03/12	10/31/12	10/15/14	1Y 11M 14D		1113
225	Murray	Murray	C069583	People v. Payne	Crim	rdaa	11/02/11	10/29/12	12/05/12	11/19/14	1Y 11M 14D		1113
226	Murray	Murray	C088045	People v. Kaihea	Crim	2	10/01/18	10/11/19	10/31/19	10/13/21	1Y 11M 12D		1108

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
227	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C088854	People v. Taylor	Crim	rdaa	02/14/19	09/18/19	12/30/19	12/08/21	1Y 11M 8D		1028
228	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C067175	People v. Bertram	Crim	rdaa	01/21/11	08/18/11	12/22/11	11/25/13	1Y 11M 3D		1039
229	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C080550	Weimer v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC, et al.	Civ	2	10/28/15	03/29/18	04/30/18	04/02/20	1Y 11M 3D		1618
230	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066262	People v. Brown	Crim	3	10/01/10	07/16/12	07/31/12	06/25/14	1Y 10M 25D		1363
231	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069609	People v. Hall et al.	Crim	RDA	11/07/11	06/21/12	06/28/12	05/22/14	1Y 10M 24D		927
232	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071905	People v. O'Rourke	Crim	RDA	08/31/12	10/23/13	10/25/13	09/17/15	1Y 10M 23D		1112
233	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C087974	People v. Rodriguez	Crim	2	09/20/18	08/28/19	08/30/19	07/19/21	1Y 10M 19D		1033
234	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C067395	People v. Carroll	Crim	RDA	02/10/11	01/26/12	02/29/12	01/15/14	1Y 10M 17D		1070
235	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C067444	Olson v. State Personnel Board	Civ	rdaa	02/16/11	07/25/11	09/08/11	07/23/13	1Y 10M 15D		888
236	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083772	Peole v. Wilson	Crim	3	01/09/17	12/20/19	09/30/19	08/11/21	1Y 10M 12D	1Y 7M 22D	1675
237	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071454	People v. Argueta	Crim	RDA	06/22/12	01/29/13	01/31/13	12/10/14	1Y 10M 9D		901
238	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C088716	People v. Mani	Crim	1	01/23/19	11/08/19	11/27/19	09/30/21	1Y 10M 3D		981
239	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070580	People v. Daniels	Crim	3	03/12/12	08/27/13	08/30/13	06/25/15	1Y 9M 26D		1200
240	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072530	People v. Carter	Crim	rdaa	11/05/12	03/20/13	05/02/13	02/23/15	1Y 9M 21D		840
241	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069903	People v. Franklin	Crim	RDA	12/16/11	02/21/13	02/28/13	12/19/14	1Y 9M 21D		1099
242	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C076856	Mecchi v. Mecchi et al.	Civ	1	07/10/14	06/01/15	06/30/15	04/19/17	1Y 9M 20D		1014
243	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071601	People v. Wiggins	Crim	RDA	07/11/12	01/28/13	01/31/13	11/19/14	1Y 9M 19D		861
244	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C087191	People v. Schuller	Crim	RDA	05/25/18	10/03/19	01/31/20	11/10/21	1Y 9M 10D		1265
245	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C068421	People v. Ianniello et al.	Crim	RDA	06/08/11	11/29/11	01/27/12	10/30/13	1Y 9M 3D		875
246	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083739	People v. Werner	Crim	rdaa	12/29/16	06/22/17	07/14/17	04/17/19	1Y 9M 3D		839
247	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C063872	Kaznowski et al. v. Biesen-Bradley et al.	Civ	3	01/08/10	02/03/11	02/28/11	11/30/12	1Y 9M 2D		1057
248	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071647	Uspenskaya v. Meline	Civ	1	07/20/12	01/21/14	01/29/14	10/28/15	1Y 8M 29D		1195

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
249	Murray	Murray	C063527	People v. Mathson	Crim	RDA	11/30/09	01/28/11	02/10/11	11/07/12	1Y 8M 28D		1073
250	Murray	Murray	C089074	People v. Pasley	Crim	rdaa	03/19/19	02/18/20	04/30/20	01/24/22	1Y 8M 25D		1042
251	Murray	Murray	C071249	People v. Cisneros	Crim	rdaa	06/01/12	05/03/13	06/11/13	02/26/15	1Y 8M 15D		1000
252	Murray	Murray	C069836	RCCA - Westwood v. Department of Public Health	Civ	2	12/02/11	12/17/12	12/28/12	09/09/14	1Y 8M 12D		1012
253	Murray	Murray	C071959	Jimenez et al. v. 24 Hour Fitness USA, Inc.	Civ	2	09/06/12	09/13/13	09/30/13	06/09/15	1Y 8M 10D		1006
254	Murray	Murray	C071577	People v. Harris	Crim	rdaa	07/16/12	02/07/13	03/28/13	12/01/14	1Y 8M 3D		868
255	Murray	Murray	C080667	Towers v. County of San Joaquin	Civ	2	11/06/15	05/23/16	05/31/16	02/02/18	1Y 8M 2D		819
256	Murray	Murray	C086444	People v. Banks	Crim	rdaa	02/05/18	09/06/19	12/30/19	09/02/21	1Y 8M 3D		1305
257	Murray	Murray	C089002	People v. Pillsbury	Crim	RDA	03/05/19	10/08/19	01/31/20	09/30/21	1Y 7M 30D		940
258	Murray	Murray	C083899	People v. Reid	Crim	rdaa	01/12/17	06/22/17	07/05/17	03/04/19	1Y 7M 27D		781
259	Murray	Murray	C084800	Noori v. Countrywide Payroll & HR Solutions, Inc.	Civ	2	05/26/17	03/29/18	04/30/18	12/26/19	1Y 7M 26D		944
260	Murray	Murray	C085192	People v. Mora-Duran	Crim	1	07/28/17	05/16/18	06/29/18	02/21/20	1Y 7M 23D		938
261	Murray	Murray	C086828	People v. Bonilla-Rodriguez	Crim	RDA	04/04/18	04/22/19	07/31/19	03/18/21	1Y 7M 15D		1079
262	Murray	Murray	C071521	People v. Gregory	Crim	rdaa	07/05/12	03/04/13	04/16/13	12/01/14	1Y 7M 15D		879
263	Murray	Murray	C083564	Sacramento County Department of Health and Human Services v. S.R.	Juv Dep	rdaa	12/09/16	06/21/17	06/01/17	01/15/19	1Y 7M 14D	1Y 6M 25D	767
264	Murray	Murray	C066249	People v. Hartway	Crim	rdaa	10/04/10	09/14/11	01/26/12	09/06/13	1Y 7M 11D		1068
265	Murray	Murray	C068530	People v. Tath	Crim	rdaa	06/23/11	02/23/12	05/10/12	12/20/13	1Y 7M 10D		911
266	Murray	Murray	C083489	Batis v. Nunez	Civ	3	11/17/16	06/04/18	06/29/18	02/03/20	1Y 7M 5D		1173
267	Murray	Murray	C071060	People v. Wanamaker	Crim	rdaa	05/15/12	10/22/12	11/20/12	06/26/14	1Y 7M 6D		772
268	Murray	Murray	C065447	People v. Motley	Crim	RDA	07/02/10	07/21/11	07/29/11	02/28/13	1Y 6M 30D		972
269	Murray	Murray	C065555	People v. Hinojosa	Crim	RDA	07/13/10	06/08/11	06/30/11	01/25/13	1Y 6M 26D		927
270	Murray	Murray	C070787	People v. Blevins	Crim	rdaa	04/11/12	03/28/13	05/29/13	12/23/14	1Y 6M 24D		986

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
271	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C089357	People v. Pin Dugodansby v. Team Islander LLC, et al.	Crim	RDA	04/25/19	11/15/19	02/28/20	09/21/21	1Y 6M 24D		880
272	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069561		Civ	rdaa	10/31/11	05/10/12	07/12/12	01/30/14	1Y 6M 18D		822
273	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C062508	People v. Tyler Gifford v. The Superior Court of Siskiyou County et al.	Crim	rdaa	07/29/09	06/27/11	07/21/11	02/06/13	1Y 6M 16D		1288
274	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C087399		Civ	2	06/15/18	10/02/19	10/31/19	05/19/21	1Y 6M 18D		1069
275	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064340	Altman et al. v. John Mourier Construction Inc.	Civ	5	03/03/10	06/01/11	06/30/11	01/10/13	1Y 6M 11D		1044
276	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C087967	Kuntz et al. v. Kaiser Foundation Hospital et al.	Civ	2	09/17/18	09/10/19	09/30/19	04/12/21	1Y 6M 13D		938
277	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072730	People v. Johnson	Crim	RDA	12/05/12	08/19/13	08/30/13	03/06/15	1Y 6M 4D		821
278	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C085785	People v. Kirkland	Crim	rdaa	10/26/17	04/30/18	06/29/18	01/03/20	1Y 6M 5D		799
279	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071298	People v. Luaifoa	Crim	rdaa	06/11/12	09/27/12	11/08/12	05/14/14	1Y 6M 6D		702
280	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072307	People v. Donias	Crim	RDA	10/24/12	08/19/13	08/30/13	03/04/15	1Y 6M 2D		861
281	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C088231	People v. White	Crim	RDA	11/01/18	07/29/19	10/31/19	05/04/21	1Y 6M 3D		915
282	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071589	People v. Russell	Crim	rdaa	08/08/12	06/19/13	09/04/13	03/05/15	1Y 6M 1D		939
283	Murray		C071750	People v. Prutyau	Crim	rdaa	08/08/12	12/05/13	02/18/14	08/12/15	1Y 5M 25D		1099
284	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070243	People v. Jackson	Crim	RDA	01/30/12	05/25/12	05/31/12	11/21/13	1Y 5M 21D		661
285	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073310	People v. Mackey	Crim	rdaa	03/15/13	07/30/13	09/17/13	03/10/15	1Y 5M 21D		725
286	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C081369	Pooni et al. v. BSI Financial Services	Civ	2	02/16/16	07/25/17	12/29/17	06/21/19	1Y 5M 23D		1221
287	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072882	People v. Keehl	Crim	rdaa	01/10/13	08/01/13	09/16/13	03/06/15	1Y 5M 18D		785
288	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073130	People v. Sanchez	Crim	rdaa	02/19/13	08/06/13	09/16/13	03/04/15	1Y 5M 16D		743
289	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079150	People v. Caracciola	Crim	rdaa	05/05/15	11/25/15	12/11/15	05/25/17	1Y 5M 14D		751
290	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C065059	People v. Ramos et al.	Crim	rdaa	05/18/10	09/26/11	12/01/11	05/10/13	1Y 5M 9D		1088
291	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071489	People v. Sigur	Crim	2	07/03/12	01/13/14	01/29/14	07/09/15	1Y 5M 10D		1101
292	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066889	People v. Ibanez	Crim	RDA	12/16/10	08/09/11	08/31/11	02/04/13	1Y 5M 4D		781
293	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C079547	Carlisle v. Carlisle	FL	2	06/22/15	04/08/16	04/29/16	09/29/17	1Y 5M 0D		830

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
294	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C082841	Philadelphia Indemnity Insurance Company v. SMG Holdings, Inc.	Civ	2	08/31/16	07/24/18	07/31/18	12/31/19	1Y 5M 0D		1217
295	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C088913	People v. Alvidrez	Crim	1	02/26/19	05/20/20	05/29/20	10/28/21	1Y 4M 29D		975
296	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077933	People v. Halsema	Crim	RDA	12/08/14	10/21/15	10/30/15	03/27/17	1Y 4M 25D		840
297	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084551	Verizon California, Inc. v. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration	Civ	2	04/25/17	01/31/18	02/28/18	07/23/19	1Y 4M 25D		819
298	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072126	People v. Cruz	Crim	rdaa	09/25/12	08/06/13	10/17/13	03/10/15	1Y 4M 21D		896
299	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C063519	People v. Mulkey	Crim	RDA	11/19/09	09/30/10	03/30/11	08/14/12	1Y 4M 15D		999
300	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C078009	People v. Barnes	Crim	1	12/18/14	02/01/16	02/29/16	07/12/17	1Y 4M 13D		937
301	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C087195	People v. Pharr	Crim	3	05/29/18	12/13/19	12/31/19	05/03/21	1Y 4M 2D		1070
302	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070250	People v. Johnson	Crim	rdaa	01/23/12	07/18/12	08/30/12	12/31/13	1Y 4M 1D		708
303	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070421	Wells v. Starelli et al.	Civ	1	02/16/12	11/27/12	11/29/12	03/26/14	1Y 3M 25D		769
304	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073773	People v. Holley	Crim	2	05/15/13	03/24/14	04/01/14	07/27/15	1Y 3M 26D		803
305	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C083052	Aguilera et al. v. Lyons et al.	Civ	1	09/16/16	02/13/18	03/28/18	07/23/19	1Y 3M 25D		1040
306	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077077	Gee v. Estate of James Charles Jewett et al.	Civ	1	08/07/14	07/13/15	07/31/15	12/06/16	1Y 4M 5D		852
307	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071755	People v. Buckner	Crim	rdaa	08/09/12	05/28/13	07/25/13	11/14/14	1Y 3M 20D		827
308	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070931	People v. Wiley	Crim	RDA	04/26/12	03/19/13	03/28/13	07/07/14	1Y 3M 9D		802
309	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C068744	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation v. State Personnel Board	Civ	2	07/21/11	04/02/12	04/27/12	08/05/13	1Y 3M 9D		746
310	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070915	People v. Chirhart	Crim	rdaa	04/25/12	01/09/13	02/13/13	05/22/14	1Y 3M 9D		757
311	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084891	Carlisle v. Carlisle	FL	2	06/14/17	09/03/19	09/30/19	01/05/21	1Y 3M 6D		1301
312	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071451	People v. Gunn	Crim	RDA	06/29/12	12/17/12	12/28/12	04/04/14	1Y 3M 7D		644
313	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073788	People v. Johnson	Crim	rdaa	05/16/13	08/29/13	10/16/13	01/20/15	1Y 3M 4D		614

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
314	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C077823	Cameron v. Sacramento County Employees' Retirement System	Civ	2	11/14/14	07/29/15	07/31/15	11/02/16	1Y 3M 2D		719
315	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073960	People v. Kuks	Crim	rdaa	06/12/13	11/25/13	12/27/13	03/26/15	1Y 2M 27D		652
316	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C067036	People v. Warren	Crim	RDA	01/04/11	08/23/12	08/30/12	11/25/13	1Y 2M 26D		1056
317	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072243	People v. Lewis	Crim	rdaa	10/11/12	02/13/13	03/07/13	05/29/14	1Y 2M 22D		595
318	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064723	Butte County Department of Employment and Social Services v. C.E. et al.	Juv Dep	rdaa	04/08/10	03/31/11	05/19/11	08/08/12	1Y 2M 20D		853
319	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066205	People v. Simpson	Crim	RDA	09/28/10	06/07/11	06/30/11	09/17/12	1Y 2M 18D		720
320	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064913	People v. Vasquez	Crim	RDA	05/03/10	01/31/11	02/10/11	04/26/12	1Y 2M 16D		724
321	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073290	People v. Miller	Crim	rdaa	03/13/13	08/29/13	01/15/14	04/01/15	1Y 2M 17D		749
322	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072884	People v. Anispe	Crim	rdaa	01/11/13	11/07/13	01/16/14	04/01/15	1Y 2M 16D		810
323	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C090163	Snowcreek IV Owners' Association et al. v. AmeriGas Propane, LP	Civ	2	08/05/19	02/26/20	03/30/20	06/09/21	1Y 2M 10D		674
324	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C065836	People v. Collins	Crim	RDA	08/18/10	06/03/11	06/30/11	09/07/12	1Y 2M 8D		751
325	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C085340	People v. Benton	Crim	rdaa	08/21/17	02/22/18	03/05/18	05/14/19	1Y 2M 9D		631
326	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C089676	People v. Brewer	Crim	RDA	06/13/19	02/03/20	03/30/20	06/07/21	1Y 2M 8D		725
327	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071976	People v. Ornelas	Crim	rdaa	09/11/12	02/26/13	03/21/13	05/28/14	1Y 2M 7D		624
328	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C070203	People v. Davis	Crim	rdaa	01/24/12	08/23/12	10/26/12	12/31/13	1Y 2M 5D		707
329	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066996	Sierra Club et al. v. County of Tehama et al.	Civ	5	12/29/10	08/30/11	09/29/11	11/30/12	1Y 2M 1D		702
330	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064499	Peltier v. California Department of Transportation	Civ	2	03/22/10	05/17/11	05/31/11	07/30/12	1Y 1M 29D		861
331	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064758	Harris v. Harris et al.	Civ	1	04/08/10	04/14/11	04/28/11	06/26/12	1Y 1M 29D		810

EXHIBIT 1

No.	Assigned	Opinion	Case No.	Case Name	Type	Rtg	Filing of NOA	Reply / CFB	Assigned	Opinion Filed / Dismissed	Delay (Asn to Opinion)	Delay (CFB to Opinion)	NOA to Opinion (Days)
332	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C087825	Carpio v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., et al.	Crim	2	08/27/18	02/07/20	02/28/20	04/23/21	1Y 1M 26D		970
333	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C065924	Herrera et al. v. AHMSI Default Services, Inc.	Civ	2	08/27/10	02/28/11	03/30/11	05/15/12	1Y 1M 15D		627
334	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072432	People v. Avila	Crim	RDA	11/01/12	05/08/14	04/30/14	06/10/15	1Y 1M 11D	1Y 1M 2D	951
335	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C088922	California Coastkeeper Alliance et al. v. California State Lands Commission et al.	Civ	4	02/21/19	02/18/20	02/28/20	04/08/21	1Y 1M 11D		777
336	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084950	People v. Dellacasa	Crim	rdaa	06/21/17	03/08/18	04/04/18	05/13/19	1Y 1M 9D		691
337	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066151	People v. Shares	Crim	rdaa	09/21/10	04/18/11	05/19/11	06/25/12	1Y 1M 6D		643
338	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C085881	People v. Baxter	Crim	rdaa	11/09/17	03/08/18	04/02/18	05/09/19	1Y 1M 7D		546
339	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C085786	People v. Gram	Crim	rdaa	10/26/17	04/03/18	04/30/18	06/03/19	1Y 1M 4D		585
340	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C086549	People v. Johnson	Crim	3	02/23/18	11/20/19	11/27/19	12/29/20	1Y 1M 2D		1040
341	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072425	People v. McCoy	Crim	rdaa	11/06/12	03/29/13	04/29/13	05/28/14	1Y 0M 29D		568
342	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074674	People v. Rosemond	Crim	rdaa	09/06/13	01/24/14	02/27/14	03/27/15	1Y 1M 0D		567
343	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C064359	People v. Hayes	Crim	rdaa	04/16/10	04/08/11	07/21/11	08/16/12	1Y 0M 26D		853
344	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C066878	King v. Biagini	Civ	2	12/14/10	06/15/11	05/31/11	06/26/12	1Y 0M 26D	1Y 0M 11D	560
345	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072151	People v. Lawhead	Crim	rdaa	09/25/12	01/23/14	03/11/14	04/03/15	1Y 0M 23D		920
346	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C075970	People v. Rogers	Crim	rdaa	03/12/14	12/16/14	01/26/15	02/16/16	1Y 0M 21D		706
347	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C065245	Caravayo v. James	Civ	1	06/03/10	03/14/11	03/30/11	04/18/12	1Y 0M 19D		685
348	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C071687	People v. Jung	Crim	rdaa	07/27/12	01/11/13	02/28/13	03/17/14	1Y 0M 17D		598
349	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C074699	People v. Sonnik	Crim	rdaa	09/11/13	02/25/14	03/17/14	04/03/15	1Y 0M 17D		569
350	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C084634	People v. King	Crim	rdaa	05/11/17	03/21/18	05/14/18	05/30/19	1Y 0M 16D		749
351	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C073296	People v. Birse	Crim	1	03/13/13	09/13/13	09/30/13	10/14/14	1Y 0M 14D		580
352	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C089046	People v. Clark et al.	Crim	2	03/14/19	03/24/20	03/30/20	04/05/21	1Y 0M 6D		753
353	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069685	People v. Hutt	Crim	rdaa	11/17/11	07/25/12	08/30/12	09/04/13	1Y 0M 5D		657
354	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C069972	Human Services v. L.B.	Juv Dep	rdaa	12/19/11	07/25/12	07/26/12	07/31/13	1Y 0M 5D		590
355	Murray	<i>Murray</i>	C072008	People v. Smith	Crim	rdaa	09/14/12	02/21/13	05/06/13	05/08/14	1Y 0M 2D		601

FILED

June 10 2025

**COMMISSION ON
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE


INQUIRY CONCERNING
FORMER JUSTICE WILLIAM J.
MURRAY, JR.

No. 211

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF
SERVICE OF NOTICE OF
FORMAL PROCEEDINGS

I, Andrew J. Waxler, Esq., or Randall A. Miller, Esq., on behalf of my client, former Justice William J. Murray, Jr., hereby waive personal service of the Notice of Formal Proceedings in Inquiry No. 211 and agree to accept service by mail. I acknowledge receipt of a copy of the Notice of Formal Proceedings by mail and, therefore, that former Justice William J. Murray, Jr. has been properly served pursuant to Rules of the Commission on Judicial Performance, rule 118(c).

Dated: June 9, 2025



Andrew J. Waxler/Randall A. Miller
Attorney for
Former Justice William J. Murray, Jr.
Respondent